arXiv:1405.6280v1 [math.NT] 24 May 2014

WOHLFAHRT'S THEOREM AND INDEX FORMULA FOR ELEMENTARY MATRIX GROUPS AND $SL(2,\mathcal{O})$

CHENG LIEN LANG AND MONG LUNG LANG

Abstract. The present article determines the indices of the principal congruence subgroups of the Bianchi groups B_d, $SL(2,\mathcal{O})$ and elementary matrix group E and extends Wohlfahrt's Theorem to B_d, $SL(2,\mathcal{O})$ and E, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of some number fields.

1. Introduction

1.1. Elementary matrix groups and $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of a number field and let $\{w_1 = 1, w_2, \cdots w_n\}$ be an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$. The elementary matrix group studied by P. M. Cohn is the subgroup E of Γ generated by (see [C1], [C2], and Section 4.2 of [F])

$$\left\langle T_i = T_{w_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : 1 \le i \le n \right\rangle. \tag{1.1}$$

Let π be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. The principal congruence subgroups $E(\pi)$ and $\Gamma(\pi)$ of E and Γ respectively are defined by

$$E(\pi) = \{(a_{ij}) \in E \,:\, a_{11} - 1, a_{22} - 1, a_{12}, a_{21} \in \pi\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\Gamma(\pi) = \{(a_{ij}) \in \Gamma \,:\, a_{11} - 1, a_{22} - 1, a_{12}, a_{21} \in \pi\}. \tag{1.3}$$

A subgroup K of E is called congruence if it contains $E(\pi)$ for some $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Congruence subgroups for Γ and $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ can be defined analogously (see 3.3 for $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$).

1.2. Index formula. The first part of the present article studies the index of the congruence subgroup $E(\pi)$ of E. As a byproduct, the index of the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(\pi)$ of Γ can be recovered *simultaneously* (see [F], [P] when the class number of $\mathcal{O}$ is one).

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $(1) \neq \pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ *be an ideal and let* E *and* Γ *be given as in subsection* 1.1. *Then*

$$[E : E(\pi)] = [\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] = N(\pi)^3 \prod (1 - N(P)^{-2}) \tag{1.4}$$

Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to $g : E \to SL(2,\mathcal{O}/\pi)$ defined by $g(X) = X \pmod{\pi}$ is surjective. Unlike the modular group case (Lemma 1.38 of [Sh]), we give an indirect proof by studying the normal series of $E(\pi) \triangleleft E$ that consists of principal congruence subgroups (Section 2). Our study shows that $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] = [E : E(\pi)]$ is multiplicative (Lemma 2.1). In the case $\pi = \mathcal{P}^n$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime ideal, $\Gamma/\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cong E/E(\mathcal{P}) \cong SL(2,\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P})$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}^r)/\Gamma(\mathcal{P}^{r+1}) \cong E(\mathcal{P}^r)/E(\mathcal{P}^{r+1})$ is elementary abelian of order $N(\mathcal{P})^3$ for every $r \ge 1$ (Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4). Consequently, our approach determines the order and group structure of $\Gamma/\Gamma(\pi)$ and $E/E(\pi)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F06; Secondary 20H05.

Key words and phrases. Bianchi groups, principal congruence subgroups, Wohlfahrt's Theorem.

The authors would like to thank K. Petersen for very helpful suggestons during the preparation of the present article.

1.3. Whlfahrt's Theorem for E and Γ. Let K be a subgroup of Γ of finite index. We say K has level m if m is the smallest positive integer such that the normal closure of the subgroup generated by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & mw_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \tag{1.5}$$

is contained in K. Note that such m exists as K is of finite index in Γ. The level of subgroups of E is defined similarly. In [W], Wohlfahrt proved that every subgroup S of finite index of the modular group $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is congruence if and only if $\Gamma(m) \subseteq S$ where m is the level of S. The second part of the present article is to prove the following theorems which extend Wohlfahrt's Theorem to E and $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathcal{O})$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be a subgroup of finite index of E. Suppose that K has level m. Then K is congruence if and only of $E(m) \subseteq K$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let K be a subgroup of finite index of Γ. Suppose that K has level m. Then K is congruence if and only of $\Gamma(m) \subseteq K$.*

Theorem 3.4 has been proved by Fine and Petersen when $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ where $-d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163$ (Theorem 4.7.3 of [F], Theorem 3.1.1 of [P]). As an immediate application of Serre's result [S] and Theorem 3.4, one has

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ has a unit of infinite order and that K is normal of index m in $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathcal{O})$. Then $\Gamma(m) \subseteq K$.*

Theorem 3.4 enables us to construct non-congruence subgroups for $SL(2,\mathcal{O})$ and $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$. Unlike most of the known construction which requires the existence of a subgroup S of finite index such that $S/[S,S]$ has rank 2 or more (see [F], [GS], [Lu], [N], and [Z] for examples), our construction can be achieved even if $S/[S,S]$ is finite. To be more precise,

Lemma 5.2. *Let S be a subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of index g, level n. Suppose that $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose further that $S/[S,S]$ has a subgroup N of prime index q such that q is inert or $q \geq 5$ is split, and $gcd(q, |SL(2,\mathcal{O}/n)|/g) = 1$. Then N is a non-congruence subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of index gq. In particular, if the rank of $S/[S,S]$ is one or more, then S contains a non-congruence subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of finite index.*

See Definition 5.1 for the terms *split, inert* and *ramified*.

1.4. Congruence subgroup problem. We say $G = PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ has congruence subgroup property (CSP) if every subgroup of finite index is congruence. Applying the well known results of Serre [S], $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ does not have CSP if and only if $\mathcal{O}$ is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. In the latter case, the group is known as the Bianchi group B_d. Equivalently, $B_d = PSL(2,O_d)$, where O_d is the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. The third part of the present article is to study the commutator and power subgroups of B_d. By Theorem 3.4, we have

Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that $d \not\equiv 5 \ (mod\, 8)$. Then $B_d^2 = \langle x^2 : x \in B_d \rangle$ is non-congruence if and only if $|B_d/B_d^2| \geq 8$. In particular, if the class number of O_d is three or more, then B_d^2 is non-congruence. In the case $d \equiv 5 \ (mod\, 8)$, B_d is non-congruence if and only if $d \neq -3$.*

Proposition 5.3. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Suppose that q is inert or $q \geq 5$ is split in O_d and that $d \neq -1, -3$. Then B_d has a normal non-congruence subgroup of level q, index q.*

Normal non-congruence subgroups for B_{-1} and B_{-3} can be found by applying Lemma 5.2 (see Proposition 5.4). Consequently, the fact that B_d does not have CSP can be viewed as a consequence of Wohlfahrt's Theorem. Suppose that $G = PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ has CSP. It is well known that $S/[S,S]$ is finite if $[G : S]$ is finite (Section 16 of [BMS]). With the help of Wohlfahrt's Theorem, one has the following slightly better result.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that $G = PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ has CSP. Let S be a subgroup of G of index g, level n. Then $S/[S,S]$ is finite. Let p be a prime divisor of $|S/[S,S]|$. Suppose that p is*

inert or $p \geq 5$ is split. Then p divides $|SL(2, \mathcal{O}/n)|/g$. In particular, if p is a prime divisor of $|G/[G, G]| < \infty$, then p is ramified or split. In the case p is split, $p = 2, 3$.

Applying Proposition 7.1, we show that if $G = PSL(2, O_d)$ has CSP, then $G/[G, G]$ is of order $2^a 3^b$ for some a, b (Proposition 7.2). This makes groups with CSP very different from the Bianchi groups B_d as B_d do not have CSP and $B_d/[B_d, B_d]$ is finite only of $d = -1, -3$ (Theorem 4.1 and Table 1).

1.5. Discussion. Our main results (Theorem 2.6 and 3.2. 3.4) are in line with the modular group case and their proofs are elementary (compare to the works we listed in the references). However, it seems worth recording as both the index formula and Wohlfahrt's Theorem are of importance in the study of the Bianchi groups, $SL(2, \mathcal{O})$ and the elementary matrix groups.

2. Index Formula of $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]$ and $[E : E(\pi)]$

The purpose of this section is to determine the indices $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]$ and $[E : E(\pi)]$ *simultaneously*. Recall that $g : E \to SL(2, \mathcal{O}/A)$ is defined by $g(X) = X \pmod A$ and that a key fact of the study of the index $[E : E(A)]$ is to prove that g is surjective (see subsection 1.2). We find this difficult as a matrix $\sigma \in SL(2, \mathcal{O})$ satisfies $\sigma \equiv x$, where $x \in SL(2, \mathcal{O}/A)$, is not necessarily a member of E as $E \neq SL(2, \mathcal{O})$ if the class number of $\mathcal{O}$ is two or more. As a consequence, we have to prove the surjectivity of g in a different way.

2.1. Upper and lower bounds for $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]$. It is clear that

$$[E : E(\pi)] = [\Gamma \cap E : \Gamma(\pi) \cap E] \leq [\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]. \tag{2.1}$$

Hence $[E : E(\pi)]$ is an lower bound of $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]$. To start off our study of an upper bound of $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)]$, we consider the homomorphism $f : \Gamma \to SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$ defined by $f(X) = X$ modulo π. It is clear that the kernel of f is $\Gamma(\pi)$. As a consequence,

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] \leq |SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)|. \tag{2.2}$$

Consider the prime factorisation $\pi = \prod \pi_i^{e_i}$ and the homomorphism $g : SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi) \to \prod SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i^{e_i})$ defined by $g(X) = (X \pmod{\pi_1^{e_1}}, X \pmod{\pi_2^{e_2}}, \cdots, X \pmod{\pi_r^{e_r}})$. It is clear that g is injective. As a consequence,

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] \leq |SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)| \leq \prod |SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i^{e_i})|. \tag{2.3}$$

Similar to the modular group case (see Section 1.6 of [Sh]), the order of $SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i^{e_i})$ can be determined. It is

$$|SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i^{e_i})| = N(\pi_i)^{3e_i}(1 - N(\pi_i)^{-2}), \tag{2.4}$$

where $N(\pi_i)$ is the absolute norm of π_i. As a consequence, $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] \leq N(\pi)^3 \prod(1 - N(\pi_i)^{-2})$. In summary, one has

$$[E : E(\pi)] \leq [\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] \leq N(\pi)^3 \prod(1 - N(\pi_i)^{-2}). \tag{2.5}$$

The rest of this section is to study $[E : E(\pi)]$. See Theorem 2.6 for the main results.

2.2. The index $[E : E(\pi)]$ is multiplicative. We prove the following useful lemma which will be used later in our study of the concept *level* of Wohlfahrt's (Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a normal subgroup of E. Suppose that $T_i \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $K = E$. In particular, let A and B be two ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ such that $A + B = \mathcal{O}$. Then $E(A)E(B) = E$, $[E : E(AB)] = [E(A) : E(AB)][E(B) : E(AB)] = [E : E(A)][E : E(B)]$.*

Proof. Since $S^4 = 1$, $T_1 \in K \lhd E$, $(ST_1)^4 \in K$. Note that the order of $T_1 S$ is three. Hence

$$T_1 S = (T_1 S)^4 \in K. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence $S, T_i \in K$. It follows that $K = E$. This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma. Let A and B be two ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\{a_1, a_2 \cdots, a_n\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n\}$ be integral bases of A and B respectively. Since $A + B = \mathcal{O}$, there exists $x_{i_k}, y_{j_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum x_{i_k} a_k + \sum y_{i_k} b_k = w_i \tag{2.7}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Pass to $E(A)$ and $E(B)$, (2.7) implies that (see Remark 2.2 for quadratic case)

$$T_{w_i} = T_i \in E(A)E(B) \text{ for all } i. \tag{2.8}$$

Apply the first part of our lemma, $E = E(A)E(B)$. Since $E(A) \cap E(B) = E(AB)$, by second isomorphism theorem, we have $[E : E(AB)] = [E(A) : E(AB)][E(B) : E(AB)]$. □

Remark 2.2. Let A and B be two ideals of $\mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O} = (1, w)$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ (square free). Let $\{a_0, a_1 + a_2 w\}$ and $\{b_0, b_1 + b_2 w\}$ be integral bases of A and B respectively. Since $A + B = \mathcal{O}$, there exists $x_i, y_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_0 a_0 + x_1(a_1 + a_2 w) + x_2 b_0 + x_3(b_1 + b_2 w) = 1$ and $y_0 a_0 + y_1(a_1 + a_2 w) + y_2 b_0 + y_3(b_1 + b_2 w) = w$. As a consequence,

$$T_1^{x_0 a_0}(T_1^{a_1} T_w^{a_2})^{x_1} T_1^{x_2 b_0}(T_1^{b_1} T_w^{b_2})^{x_3} = T_1,\ T_1^{y_0 a_0}(T_1^{a_1} T_w^{a_2})^{y_1} T_1^{y_2 b_0}(T_1^{b_1} T_w^{b_2})^{y_3} = T_w. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $T_1^{a_0}$, $T_1^{a_1} T_w^{a_2} \in E(A)$ and that $T_1^{b_0}$, $T_1^{b_1} T_w^{b_2} \in E(B)$. As a consequence, (2.10) implies that $T_1, T_w \in E(A)E(B)$.

2.3. The index $[E : E(\pi^m)]$, where π is a prime ideal. We shall first study the index $[E : E(\pi)]$. It is clear that $E/E(\pi)$ is a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ is a finite field of characteristic p where p is the smallest positive rational prime in π.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal and let p be the smallest positive rational prime in π. Then $E/E(\pi) \cong SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$, where $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ is a finite field of characteristic p and $|SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)| = N(\pi)^3(1 - N(\pi)^{-2})$.*

Proof. Since $\{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_n\}$ is an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}$ (see subsection 1.1) and $S, T_i \in E$ for all i. $E/E(\pi)$ contains all the elementary matrices.

$$S,\ T_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ L_y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E/E(\pi) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{O}/\pi$. Note that $\mathcal{O}/\pi$ is a finite field. One may perform elementary row and column operations to show that every matrix in $SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$ can be written as a word in S, T_x and Ly. As a consequence, $E/E(\pi) \cong SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a prime ideal, $m \geq 1$. Then $E(\pi^m)/E(\pi^{m+1})$ is an elementary abelian p-group of order $N(\pi)^3$, where p is the smallest positive rational prime in π.*

Proof. Suppose that $N(\pi) = |\mathcal{O}/\pi| = p^r$ for some r. It follows that π^n/π^{n+1} is an elementary abelian p-group of order p^r. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r\}$ be a set of generators of π^n/π^{n+1}. Then $x_i \in \pi^n \setminus \pi^{n+1}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-independent set. Equivalently, if $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i \in \pi^{m+1}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $p | a_i$ for all i. Since $T_i \in E$ for all i, it is clear that

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in E(\pi^m) \setminus E(\pi^{m+1}) \tag{2.11}$$

for all x_i. Set $Y_i = S X_i S^{-1}$, $Z_i = T_1 S X_i S^{-1} T_1^{-1}$ (see (1.1) for the definition of T_i). The matrix forms of X_i, Y_j, Z_k are given as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x_i & x_i \\ -x_i & 1 + x_i \end{pmatrix} \in E(\pi^m) \setminus E(\pi^{m+1}) \tag{2.12}$$

Write the above matrices into the form $I + A_i$ for some A_i. Since $x_i x_j \in \pi^{m+1}$ for all i and j, one sees easily that

(i) $(I+A_i)^p \equiv I \pmod{\pi^{m+1}}$,
(ii) $(I+A_i)(I+A_j) \equiv I+(A_i+A_j) \pmod{\pi^{m+1}}$.

As a consequence, the above matrices modulo π^{m+1} generate an elementary abelian p-group. Set

$$A = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, B = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1-x_i & x_i \\ -x_i & 1+x_i \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \tag{2.13}$$

Since $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-independent set, one sees easily that A modulo π^{m+1} is elementary abelian of order p^{2r}, B modulo π^{m+1} is elementary abelian of order p^r and $A \cap B = \{1\}$ modulo π^{m+1} (see Remark 2.5). Hence $|E(\pi^m/E(\pi^{m+1})| \geq p^{3r} = N(\pi)^3$. Applying (2.5), $|E(\pi^m/E(\pi^{m+1})| \leq N(\pi)^3$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 2.5. Since A and B are abelian groups, elements in A and B take the following simple forms respectively.

$$I + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_i x_i \\ -b_i x_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; I + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \begin{pmatrix} -c_i x_i & c_i x_i \\ -c_i x_i & c_i x_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.14}$$

2.4. The main result. Apply (2.5) and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following results. It gives the indices in terms of the norms of ideals.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $1 \neq \pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be an ideal. Then*

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] = [E : E(\pi)] = N(\pi)^3 \prod (1 - N(P)^{-2}), \tag{2.15}$$

where the product is over the set of all prime ideals P that divide π and $N(P)$ is the absolute norm of P.

Proof. Since the index $[E : E(\pi)]$ is multiplicative (Lemma 2.1), one may apply Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 to conclude that $[\Gamma : \Gamma(\pi)] = [E : E(\pi)] = N(\pi)^3 \prod (1 - N(P)^{-2})$. □

Corollary 2.7. *The homomorphisms $f : \Gamma \to SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$, $g : E \to SL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi)$ defined by $f(X) = X$, $g(X) = X$ modulo π are surjective.*

3. Wohlfahrt's Theorem

3.1. Wohlfahrt's Theorem for E. Denoted by $N(E(mn), m)$ the normal closure of $E(mn)$ and T_i^m $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in E. The following lemma (Lemma 3.1) shows that $N(E(mn), m) = E(m)$. It follows immediately from this lemma that Wohlfahrt's Theorem can be extended to the elementary matrix groups (Theorem 3.2).

Lemma 3.1. $N(E(mn), m) = E(m)$.

Proof. Let x be the smallest positive rational integer such that $E(x) \subseteq N(E(mn), m) \subseteq E(m)$. Suppose that $x > m$. Since m is a divisor of x, one has $x = mm_0p$, where $m_0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and p is a prime. Set $q = mm_0$. Then $x = pq$. Since $E(pq) = E(x) \subseteq N(E(mn), m)$, it follows that

$$N(E(pq), q) \subseteq N(E(mn), m). \tag{3.1}$$

Case 1. $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Let $X = N(E(pq), q)$. It is clear that $X \subseteq E(q)$. We consider the group $XE(p)$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $T_i^p \in E(p)$ and $T_i^q \in X$ for all i, we have $T_i \in XE(p)$ for all i. This implies that $XE(p) = E$ (Lemma 2.1). Hence

$$X/E(pq) \times E(p)/E(pq) \cong E/E(pq) \cong E(p)/E(pq) \times E(q)/E(pq). \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $X \subseteq E(q)$. It is now clear that (3.2) implies that $X = E(q)$. By (3.1), $E(q) = N(E(pq), q) \subseteq N(E(mn), m)$. This contradicts the minimality of x. Hence $x = m$. Equivalently, $N(E(mn), m) = E(m)$.

Case 2. $\gcd(p, q) \neq 1$. It follows that $p|q$. By Theorem 2.6, $[E(q) : E(pq)] = N(p)^3$. Since $N(E(pq), q) \subseteq E(q)$,

$$[N(E(pq), q) : E(pq)] \leq N(p)^3. \tag{3.3}$$

It is clear that T_i^q, $ST_i^qS^{-1}$, $T_1ST_i^qS^{-1}T_1^{-1} \in E(q)$. The matrix form of the above matrices are given as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & qw_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -qw_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-qw_i & qw_i \\ -qw_i & 1+qw_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

Similar to Lemma 2.4, the above matrices modulo $E(pq)$ generate an elementary abelian p-group of order $N(p)^3$. Since these matrices are conjugates of T_i^q, it follows that $[N(E(pq),q) : E(pq)]$ is at least $N(p)^3$. By (3.3), $[N(E(pq),q) : E(pq)] = N(p)^3$. Hence $N(E(pq),q) = E(q)$. By (3.1), $E(q) \subseteq N(E(mn),m)$. This contradicts the minimality of x. Hence $x = m$. Equivalently, $N(E(mn),m) = E(m)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Theorem 3.2. (Wohlfahrt's Theorem) *Let K be a subgroup of E of finite index. Suppose that the level of K is m. Then K is congruence if and only if $E(m) \subseteq K$.*

Proof. Suppose that K is congruence. Then $E(n) \subseteq K$ for some n. Hence $E(mn) \subseteq K$. Since the level of K is m, it follows that $N(E(mn),m) \subseteq K$. By Lemma 3.1, $E(m) \subseteq K$. The converse is clear. □

3.2. Wohlfahrt's Theorem for $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathcal{O})$. Denoted by $N(\Gamma(mn),m)$ the normal closure of $\Gamma(mn)$ and T_i^m $(1 \le i \le n)$ in Γ. The following lemma is essential in our study of Wohlfahrt's Theorem for Γ.

Lemma 3.3. $N(\Gamma(mn),m) = \Gamma(m)$.

Proof. Let $X = N(\Gamma(mn),m)$. An easy study of the group diagram of the following six groups $\Gamma(mn) \subseteq X \subseteq \Gamma(m)$ and $\Gamma(mn) \cap E(m) \subseteq X \cap E(m) \subseteq \Gamma(m) \cap E(m)$ implies that

(i) $[\Gamma(m) : X] \ge [\Gamma(m) \cap E(m) : X \cap E(m)] = [E(m) : X \cap E(m)]$,
(ii) $[X : \Gamma(mn)] \ge [X \cap E(m) : \Gamma(mn) \cap E(m)] = [X \cap E(m) : E(mn)]$,
(iii) $[\Gamma(m) : \Gamma(mn)] = [E(m) : E(mn)]$ (Theorem 2.6).

Applying (iii) of the above to (i) and (ii), it follows that the inequalities are actually equalities. Hence (i) of the above becomes

$$[\Gamma(m) : X] = [\Gamma(m) \cap E(m) : X \cap E(m)] = [E(m) : X \cap E(m)]. \tag{3.5}$$

It is clear that $N(E(mn),m) \subseteq X \cap E(m)$. By Lemma 3.1, $N(E(mn),m) = E(m)$. Hence the third term of (3.5) is 1. This implies that $[\Gamma(m) : X] = 1$. Equivalently, $\Gamma(m) = N(\Gamma(mn),m)$. □

Similar to Theorem 3.2, we may extend Wohlfahrt's Theorem to Γ as follows.

Theorem 3.4. (Wohlfahrt's Theorem) *Let K be a subgroup of Γ of finite index. Suppose that the level of K is m. Then K is congruence if and only if $\Gamma(m) \subseteq K$.*

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ has a unit of infinite order and that K is normal of index m in $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathcal{O})$. Then $\Gamma(m) \subseteq K$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}$ has a unit of infinite order, every subgroup of Γ of finite index is congruence (Serre [S]). Since K is normal of index m, the level of K is a divisor of m. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $\Gamma(m) \subseteq K$. □

3.3. Discussion. Let $G = PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ or $PE = E/\langle \pm I \rangle$. Define the principal congruence subgroup $G(\pi)$ to be $G(\pi) = \{x \in G : x \equiv \pm I \,(mod\, \pi)\}$. A subgroup K of G is a congruence subgroup if K contains $G(\pi)$ for some $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Let K be a subgroup of G of finite index. The level of K is the smallest positive integer m such that the normal closure of the subgroup generated by

$$\left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & mw_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : 1 \le i \le n \right\} \tag{3.6}$$

is contained in K. Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 can be extended easily to G as follows.

Theorem 3.6. (Wohlfahrt's Theorem) *Let K be a subgroup of $G = PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ or PE of finite index. Suppose that the level of K is m. Then K is congruence if and only if $G(m) \subseteq K$.*

4. Known results of the Bianchi groups

4.1. In the case $\mathcal{O} = O_d$ is the ring of integers of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d < 0$. $B_d = PSL(2, O_d)$ is known as the Bianchi group.

4.2. Known results about projective elementary matrix groups $PE_d = E_d/\langle \pm I \rangle$ and Bianchi groups B_d. Let $\{1, w\}$ be an integral basis of O_d. Then PE_d is generated by

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, T_w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

In the case $-d = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$, Cohn's results [C1] implies that $PE_d = B_d$. The group structure for such B_d is completely known (Theorem 4.3.1 of Fine [F]). In the case $-d \neq 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$, $PE_d = \{a, t, u : a^2 = (at)^3 = [t, u] = 1\}$ (Theorem 4.8.1 of [F]). Set $\Omega = \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11\}$. As an easy application of their results, one has the following.

Table 1. $PE_d/[PE_d, PE_d]$ and PE_d/PE_d^2

d	$PE_d/[PE_d, PE_d]$	PE_d/PE_d^2	Remark
-1	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$B_d = PE_d$
-2	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_6$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$B_d = PE_d$
-3	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	1	$B_d = PE_d$
-7	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$B_d = PE_d$
-11	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$B_d = PE_d$
$d \notin \Omega$	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_6$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$B_d \neq PE_d$

where $B_d^2 = \langle x^2 : x \in B_d \rangle$ and $PE_d^2 = \langle x^2 : x \in PE_d \rangle$. We now turn our attention to the case $d \notin \Omega = \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11\}$. Works of Swan and others (see Theorem 6.2.2 and pp. 195 of Fine [F]) imply that

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $-d \neq 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$. Then the rank r of $B_d/[B_d, B_d]$ is finite. Further, $r \geq h_d$, where h_d is the class number of O_d. In particular, $\infty > |B_d/B_d^2| \geq 2^r$.*

5. First application : Non-congruence subgroups of small indices

The main purpose of this section is to construct normal non-congruence subgroups of small indices and levels of the Bianchi groups. Throughout the section, $d < 0$ is square free and $B_d(x)$ is the principal congruence subgroup associate to x.

Definition 5.1. Consider the prime decomposition $(q) = \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1}\mathcal{P}_2^{e_2}\cdots\mathcal{P}_s^{e_s}$ in $\mathcal{O}$. q is *inert* if $s = 1$, $e_1 = 1$, q is *split* if $s \geq 2$, $e_1 = e_2 = \cdots e_s = 1$, q is *ramified* if $e_i \geq 2$ for some i.

Lemma 5.2. *Let S be a subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of index g, level n. Suppose that $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose further that $S/[S,S]$ has a subgroup of prime index q such that q is inert or $q \geq 5$ is split, and $gcd(q, |SL(2,\mathcal{O}/n)|/g) = 1$. Then N is a non-congruence subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of index gq. In particular, if the rank of $S/[S,S]$ is one or more, then S contains a non-congruence subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$ of finite index.*

Proof. Set $G = PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$. Consider the prime decomposition $(q) = \prod \pi_i$, $\pi_i \neq \pi_j$ if and only if $i \neq j$. Since $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{O}/\pi_i$ is a field of at least 4 elements. It follows that $PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i)$ is non-abelian simple,

$$gcd\,(q, |SL(2, \mathcal{O}/n)|/g) = 1 \tag{5.1}$$

and that S has a normal subgroup N of index q. It is clear that the level of N is a divisor of nq. Suppose that N is congruence. By Theorem 3.6, $G(nq) \subseteq N$ and $G(n) \subseteq S$, where $G(m)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level m. We have two cases to consider.
Case 1. $G(n) \subseteq N$. It follows that $N/G(n)$ is a subgroup of $G/G(n) \cong PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/n)$ of index q. An easy study of the indices of the groups $G(n) \subseteq N \subseteq S \subseteq G$ implies that q is a divisor of $|SL(2, \mathcal{O}/n)|/g$. A contradiction. Hence N is non-congruence.
Case 2. $G(n)$ is not a subgroup of N. This implies that $(N \cap G(n))/G(nq)$ is a normal subgroup of $G(n)/G(nq) \cong SL(2, \mathcal{O}/q)$ of index q. We consider the composition factors of the following normal series.

$$1 \lhd (N \cap G(n))/G(nq) \lhd G(n)/G(nq) \cong SL(2, \mathcal{O}/q). \tag{5.2}$$

$PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i)$ is a composition factor of (5.2). Since $[G(n) : N \cap G(n)] = q$ is a prime, $PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i)$ is not a composition factor of $(N \cap G(n))/G(nq) \lhd G(n)/G(nq)$. Hence $PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/\pi_i)$ is a composition factor of $1 \lhd (N \cap G(n))/G(nq)$ for all i. By (5.2), the order of $G(n)/G(nq)$ is a multiple of $q|PSL(2, \mathcal{O}/q)|$. A contradiction. Hence N in non-congruence.
Note that $\cap xNx^{-1}$ is normal in G. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Suppose that q is inert or $q \geq 5$ is split in O_d and that $d \neq -1, -3$. Then B_d has a normal non-congruence subgroup of level q, index q. Similarly, PE_d has a normal non-congruence subgroup of level q, index q.*

Proof. Let $S = B_d$. Since $d \neq -1, -3$, $S/[S, S] = B_d/B'_d$ has positive rank (Theorem 4.1 and Table 1). Let q be given as in the proposition let N be a normal subgroup of index q in $S = B_d$. By Lemma 5.2, N is normal non-congruence in $S = B_d$. Note that the above argument works for PE_d. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $d = -1, -3$. Then $B_d = PE_d$ has normal non-congruence subgroups.*
Proof. (i) $d = -1$. Let $S = B'''_d$ be the third commutator subgroup of B_d. Then the level of S is a divisor of 12, $[B_d : S] = 768$, S has 384 generators and $S/[S, S]$ is infinite (Theorem 5.3.1 of Fine [F]). By Lemma 5.2, B_d has a normal non-congruence subgroup.
(ii) $d = -3$. Set $H_2 = B_d(\sqrt{-3})$. Applying results of Fine (Theorem 4.4.4 of Fine [F]), $H_2 = B''_d$ and $S = \langle x^2 : x \in H_2 \rangle$ is characteristic of index 3 in H_2. Further,

$$S = \langle w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 : (w_4 w_2)^3 = (w_1 w_3)^3 = (w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)^3 = [w_1, w_2] = [w_3, w_4] = 1 \rangle .$$

Note that $S/[S, S] \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. By Lemma 5.2, B_d has a normal non-congruence subgroup. □

6. Second Application : The power subgroups

6.1. Now we turn our attention to the power group $B_d^2 = \langle x^2 : x \in B_d \rangle$. We shall first prove a lemma that will be useful for our study. Throughout the section, $d < 0$ is square free, $B_d(x)$ is the principal congruence subgroup associated to x. The main purpose of this section is to show that the number of d's such that B_d^2 is congruence is finite (Propositions 6.2 and 6.3).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $G = PSL(2, O_d/2)$ and $G^2 = \langle x^2 : x \in G \rangle$. Suppose that (2) is a prime ideal of O_d. Then $G = G^2$. Suppose that (2) is not a prime ideal of O_d. Then $G/G^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that (2) is a prime ideal. Then $PSL(2, O/2) \cong A_5$ is non-abelian simple. Hence $G = G^2$. In the case (2) is split, $G \cong S_3 \times S_3$ and the lemma holds. We may therefore assume that (2) is ramified. Let π be the prime ideal that divides $(2) = \pi^2$. It follows that

$|G| = 48$ and G is an extension of $B_d(\pi)/B_d(2) = A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $B_d/B_d(\pi) = X \cong S_3$. To be more accurate, pick $w \in \pi \setminus (2)$, then $A = \langle x, y, z \rangle$, where

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{pmatrix}, z = \begin{pmatrix} 1+w & w \\ w & 1+w \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

One can show easily that the group generated by x, y and z is elementary abelian of order 8 modulo (2) (see (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.4). Similarly, $X = \langle S, R \rangle$, where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that S and R generate a symmetric group of order 6 modulo (2). Let B be the subgroup of A generated by xz and yz.

$$B = \left\langle xz = \begin{pmatrix} 1+w & 0 \\ w & 1+w \end{pmatrix}, yz = \begin{pmatrix} 1+w & w \\ 0 & 1+w \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \tag{6.3}$$

B is invariant under the conjugation of R. Hence B and R generate a subgroup of G of order 12. It is clear that $\langle z, S \rangle \cap \langle B, R \rangle = 1$ and that z and S normalise $D = \langle B, R \rangle$. Hence D is a normal subgroup of G and that

$$G/D \cong \langle z, S \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2. \tag{6.4}$$

This implies that $G^2 \subseteq D$. Suppose that $G^2 \neq D$. Since G/G^2 is an elementary abelian 2-group and $|G| = 48$, the order of G^2 is either 3 or 6. In both cases, one concludes that the Sylow 3-subgroup of G^2 is a normal subgroup of G. This is a contradiction as $\langle R \rangle$ is not normal. Hence $D = G^2$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose that $d \equiv 5$ $(mod\, 8)$. Then B'_d and B^2_d are non-congruence if and only if $d \neq -3$.*

Proof. Since $d \equiv 5$ $(mod\, 8)$, $(2) \subseteq O_d$ is a prime ideal. It follows that $PSL(2, O_d/2) \cong A_5$.
(i) $d \neq -3$. B^2_d is of level 2. Suppose that B^2_d is congruence. By Theorem 3.6, $B_d(2) \subseteq B^2_d$. Hence $B^2_d/B_d(2)$ is a normal subgroup of $B_d/B_d(2) \cong PSL(2, O_d/2)$. By Lemma 6.1, $B^2_d = B_d$. This is a contradiction (Theorem 4.1 and Table 1). Hence B'_d and B^2_d are not congruence.

(ii) $d = -3$. Let $w = \sqrt{-3}$. $B_{-3}/B_{-3}(w) \cong A_4$ has a unique normal subgroup $U/B_{-3}(w)$ of index 3. In particular, B_{-3}/U is abelain. Hence $B'_{-3} \subseteq U$. By Table 1, $B_{-3}/B'_{-3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. This implies that U and B'_{-3} have the same index. Hence $U = B'_{-3}$. As a consequence, $B_{-3}(w) \subseteq U = B'_{-3}$. Hence B'_{-3} is congruence of level 3. Note that $B^2_{-3} = B_{-3}$. □

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that $d \not\equiv 5$ $(mod\, 8)$. Then B^2_d is non-congruence if and only if $|B_d/B^2_d| \geq 8$. In particular, if the class number of O_d is three or more, then B^2_d is non-congruence.*

Proof. The level of B^2_d is 2. Suppose that B^2_d is congruence. By Theorem 3.6 , $B_d(2) \subseteq B^2_d$. Note that $B_d/B_d(2) \cong PSL(2, O_d/2)$. Since $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod 5$, (2) is not a prime. By Lemma 6.1, $B_d/B^2_d \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In particular, $|B_d/B^2_d| < 8$. Conversely, suppose that $|B_d/B^2_d| < 8$. Then $|B_d/B^2_d| = 1, 2$, or 4. Let $U \subseteq PSL(2, O_d) = B_d$ be the pre-image of D (see (6.4) of Lemma 6.1). Then $B_d/U \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. This implies that $B^2_d = U$. Since $B_d(2) \subseteq U$, B^2_d is congruence. This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition.

Suppose that the class number of O_d is three or more. By Theorem 4.1, $|B_d/B^2_d| \geq 8$. Suppose that B^2_d is congruence. Then $B_d(2) \subseteq B^2_d$ (Theorem 3.6) and $B^2_d/B_d(2) \lhd B_d/B_d(2) \cong PSL(2, O_d/2)$. In the case $d \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, $PSL(2, O_d/2) \cong A_5$ is simple. Hence $B^2_d = B_d$ or $B_d(2)$. This contradicts the fact that B_d/B^2_d is abelian of order 8 or more. Hence B^2_d is non-congruence. In the case $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod 8$, B^2_d is not congruence by the first part of the present proposition. □

Discussion 6.4. The set of d's ($d < 0$ is square free) such that the class number of O_d is 2 or less is $\{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163\} \cup \{-5, -6, -10, -13, -15, -22, -35, -37, -51, -58, -91, -115, -123, -187, -235, -267, -403, -427\}$.

6.2. One may apply the proof of Proposition 6.2 and conclude immediately that

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that $d \equiv 5 \pmod 8$. Then PE'_d and PE^2_d are non-congruence if and only if $d \neq -3$.*

In the case $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod 8$, one has the following.

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose that $d \not\equiv 5 \pmod 8$. Then PE^2_d is congruence.*

Proof. By Table 1, $PE_d/PE^2_d \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Lemma 6.1, $PE_d/PE_d(2) \cong PSL(2, O_d/2)$ has a normal subgroup D such that $PSL(2, O_d/2)/D \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Denoted by V the pre-image of D in PE_d. Then $PE_d/V \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence $PE^2_d = V$. Note that $PE_d(2) \subseteq V$. Hence PE^2_d is congruence. □

7. Third Application : Congruence Subgroup Property (CSP)

The main purpose of this section is to show that if $G = PSL(2, O_d)$ has CSP, then $G/[G, G]$ is a finite group of order $2^a 3^b$ for some a, b (Proposition 7.2). Note that B_d do not have CSP and $B_d/[B_d, B_d]$ is finite only if $d = -1, -3$.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that $G = PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$ has CSP. Let S be a subgroup of G of index g, level n. Then $|S/[S, S]|$ is finite. Let q be a prime divisor of $|S/[S, S]|$. Suppose that q is inert or $q \geq 5$ is split. Then q divides $|SL(2, \mathcal{O}/n)|/g$. In particular, if q is a prime divisor of $|G/[G, G]| < \infty$, then q is either ramified or split. In the case q is split, $q = 2$ or 3.*

Proof. Since G has CSP, $S/[S, S]$ is finite (Section 16 of [BMS]). The second part of the proposition follows immediately by applying Lemma 5.2. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let O_d be the ring of integers of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and let $G = PSL(2, O_d)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Suppose that q divides $|G/[G, G]|$. Then q is ramified or split and $q = 2$ or 3. In particular, $|G/[G, G]| = 2^a 3^b$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$ has a unit of infinite order, G has CSP (Serre [S]). By our assumption, G has a normal subgroup of index q. By Lemma A1, q is ramified or split and $q \leq 5$. □

Proposition 7.2 suggests the following.

Conjecture 7.3. *Suppose that $G = PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$ has CSP. Let q be a prime divisor of $|G/[G, G]|$. Then q is ramified or split and $q = 2$ or 3. In particular, $|G/[G, G]| = 2^a 3^b$.*

8. Appendix A

Let $q \geq 5$ be a rational prime that is ramified in O_d, the ring of integers of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let π be the prime ideal that divides $(q) = \pi^2$ and let $\{q, x\}$ be an integral basis of π. Let $G = PSL(2, O_d)$. Then $G/G(q)$ is an extension of $G(\pi)/G(q)$ by $G/G(\pi) \cong PSL(2, O_d/\pi) = PSL(2, q)$, where $G(\pi)/G(q) \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$.

$$G(\pi)/G(q) \cong \left\langle r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, u = \begin{pmatrix} 1-x & x \\ -x & 1+x \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \qquad (A1)$$

Let $t = rs^{-1}u$. $t \pmod q$ can be found in $(A2)$. It is clear that $\langle r, s, u\rangle = \langle r, s, t\rangle \pmod q$.

$$G/G(\pi) \cong \left\langle S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, t \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1-x & 0 \\ 0 & 1+x \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (A2)$$

Set $v^g = gvg^{-1}$. Direct calculation shows that (see (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.4 for matrix multiplication of $G(\pi)/G(q)$)

$$r^S = s^{-1}, s^S = r^{-1}, t^S = t^{-1}, r^T = rs^{-1}t^{-1}, s^T = s, t^T = s^2 t. \qquad (A3)$$

Lemma A1. *Suppose that $G = PSL(2, O_d)$ has CSP. Let q be a rational prime. Suppose that $q \in O_d$ is inert or $q \geq 5$. Then G has no normal subgroups of index q.*

Proof. Suppose that G has a normal subgroup K of index q. Since G has CSP, $G(q) \subseteq K$ (Theorem 3.6). In the case q is inert, G/K is a normal subgroup of the simple group $G/G(q) \cong PSL(2, q^2)$. A contradiction. In the case $q \geq 5$ is split, G/K is a normal subgroup of index q of $G/G(q) \cong (SL(2, q) \times SL(2, q))/\mathbb{Z}_2$. Again, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $q \geq 5$ is ramified. Let π be the prime ideal that divides $(q) = \pi^2$. Since $q \geq 5$, $PSL(2, O_d/\pi)$ is non-abelian simple. Hence $G(\pi)$ is not a subgroup of K. Hence $N = K/G(q) \cap G(\pi)/G(q)$ is a normal subgroup of $G/G(q)$ of order q^2. Take $1 \neq \sigma = r^a s^b t^c \in N \subseteq G(\pi)/G(p)$. There are four cases to consider.

Case 1. $a \neq b$. Recall that N is normal. $\sigma^S \sigma = r^{a-b} s^{b-a} \in N$. Hence $rs^{-1} \in N$. It follows that $(rs^{-1})^{-1}(rs^{-1})^T = (st)^{-1} \in N$. Hence $(st)(st)^{-1})^T = s^{-2} \in N$. It follows that $s \in N$. By (A3) and the fact that N is normal, $r, t \in N$. Hence N has order q^3. A contradiction.

Case 2. $a = b = 0$. Hence $t^c \in N$. This implies that $t \in N$. By (A3) and the fact that N is normal, $r, s \in N$. Hence N has order q^3. A contradiction.

Case 3. $a = b \neq 0$ and $2c/a - 1 = 0 \pmod q$. Hence $r^a s^a t^c \in N$. It follows that $rst^d \in N$, where $d = c/a$. Hence $(rst^d)^{-1}(rst^d)^T = t^{-1} \in N$. Similar to Case 2, this is a contradiction.

Case 4. $a = b \neq 0$ and $2c/a - 1 \neq 0 \pmod q$. Similar to Case 3, $rst^d \in N$, where $d = c/a$. Hence $(rst^d)^{-1}(rst^d)^T = s^{2d-1}t^{-1} \in N$. It follows that $(s^{2d-1}t^{-1})^S(s^{2d-1}t^{-1}) = r^{1-2d}s^{2d-1} \in N$. Hence $rs^{-1} \in N$. Similar to Case 1, this is a contradiction.

Hence G has no normal subgroups of index q. □

References

[BMS] H. Bass, J. Milnor, and J. P. Serre, *Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for $SL(n)$ $(n \geq 3)$ and $Sp(2n)$ $(n \geq 2)$* , Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. no. 33 (1967), 59-137.

[C1] P. M. Cohn, *On the structure of GL_2 of a ring*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. no. 30 (1966), 5-53.

[C2] P. M. Cohn, *A presentation of SL_2 for the Euclidean quadratic imaginary number fields*, Mathematika 15 (1968), 156-163.

[F] B. Fine, *Algebraic Theory of the Bianchi Groups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 1989.

[GS] F.Grunewald and J. Schwermer, *Free non-abelian quotients of SL_2 over orders of imaginary quadratic number fields*, J. of Algebra 69 (1981), 162-175.

[LL1] C. L. Lang, M. L. Lang, *Wohlfahrt's Theorem for the Hecke group G_5*, `arXiv:math/1401.0776 [math.NT],` 2014.

[LL2] C. L. Lang and M. L. Lang, *The commutator subgroup of the Hecke group G_5 is not congruence*, `arXiv:math/1401.1567, [math.NT],` 2014.

[Lu] A. Lubotzky, *Free Quotients and the Congruence kernel of SL_2*, J. of algebra 77 (1982),411-418.

[N] M. Newman, *Integral matrices*, Academic Press 1972.

[P] K. Petersen, *One-Cusped Congruence Groups of Bianchi Groups*, Ph.d Thesis, The university of Texas at Austin, 2005.

[S] J. P. Serre, *Le Probleme de Groupes de Congruence pour SL_2*, Ann. of Math. 92 (1970), 489-657.

[Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press 1971.

[W] K. Wohlfahrt, *An extension of F. Klein's level concept*, Illinois J. of Math. 8 (1964), 529-535.

[Z] R. Zimmert, *Zur SL_2 der Ganzen Zahlen eines Imaginar Quadratischen Zahlkorpers*, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 73-82.

Cheng Lien Lang
Department of Mathematics, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China.
`cllang@isu.edu.tw`

Mong Lung Lang
Singapore 669608, Republic of Singapore.
`lang2to46@gmail.com`